

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/779,922 | DELLA-LIBERA ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | KAVEH ABRISHAMKAR | 2431 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Kaveh Abrishamkar. (3) _____.

(2) Randall Palmer (Reg. No. 61,440). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 December 2009

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102(e) rejection

Claims discussed:

1, 19, 31

Prior art documents discussed:

Sankar (U.S. Patent 7,065,706)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner advised the Applicant that if independent claims 1 and 19 were amended to incorporate the same limitations as independent claim 31, the claims would be in condition for allowance. The Applicant agreed to an Examiner's Amendment

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Kaveh Abrishamkar/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2431

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)